

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/751,264	01/02/2001		Andrew W. Chow		8957	
7	7590	07/24/2003				
Andrew W. C			EXAMINER			
15306 Parkville Drive Houston, TX 77068				LAGMAN, FREDERICK LYNDON		
		e ^c		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3673		
				DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

i										
		Application No.	-	Applicant(s)						
		09/751,264		CHOW, ANDREW	W.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
	•	Frederick L. Lagr	nan	3673						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover	sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM									
THE	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.									
after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ver to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute eply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	y within the statutory min will apply and will expire to cause the application to	imum of thirty (30) day SIX (6) MONTHS from become ABANDONE	s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.					
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 09 I	<u>May 2003</u> .								
2a)⊠		is action is non-fi	nal.							
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for fo	rmal matters, p	osecution as to the	merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims										
_	Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra		ation							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Will Holli consider	ation.							
· _	Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected.									
·	Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.									
l	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election require	ment							
	on Papers	r ciccuon require	non.							
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.								
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 January 2001</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.										
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).										
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.										
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.										
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.										
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120									
13)□	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:									
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been rece	ived in Applicati	on No						
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Buse the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).		itage					
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti		•		application).					
a	The translation of the foreign language pro	ovisional application	on has been rec	eived.	,					
Attachmen			3.0							
2) Notic 3) Inforr	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	4)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s Patent Application (PTO-						
U.S. Patent and Tr PTO-326 (Re		tion Summary		Part of Paper No. 5						

Application/Control Number: 09/751,264 Page 2

Art Unit: 3673

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the "substructure having space to allow piping access to ocean bottom" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

2. The specification is objected to as failing to provide proper antecedent basis for the claimed subject matter. See 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1) and MPEP § 608.01(o). Correction of the following is required: claim 1 as amended recites "the substructure having space to allow piping access to the ocean bottom", such recitation lacks proper antecedent basis in the specification.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Howard #3,472,032. Howard discloses a floating platform comprising: a superstructure

Application/Control Number: 09/751,264 Page 3

Art Unit: 3673

18; a minimized wave buoyancy structure 30; a substructure 16; and limited-free-movement means 56. The minimized wave buoyancy structure having a lower cross-sectional area and said substructure providing buoyancy and stability. The minimized wave buoyancy structure also effective in transmitting said superstructure's weight to the substructure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Howard in view of Johnson et al #3,500,783. Howard discloses the claimed invention except for the one or more stabilizers. Johnson et al teaches that it is known to provide one or more stabilizers 44 as set forth at column 5, lines 20-32. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to one or more stabilizers, as taught by Johnson et al in order to dampen heaving or vertical motion of the platform caused by wave motion.

Allowable Subject Matter

7. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Application/Control Number: 09/751,264

Art Unit: 3673

. . .

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 5/9/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

As to claims 1 and 4, Howard discloses a floating platform having a substructure 16 which alone can provide buoyancy and stability. Howard does not maintain stability by conduit 22 as argued by applicant. The conduit of Howard facilitates in the placement or positioning of the floating structure. Furthermore, applicant suggests inserting into claim 1, the recitation of "with the substructure having a volume of at least 15,000 bbls"; such recitation would not differentiate over the prior art since Howard can accommodate such volume. Also, to provide a structure having greater volume capacity would be an obvious matter of design choice.

As to claim 2, Johnson Jr. et al discloses a stabilizer 44 which can be placed at any level, see col. 5, lines 24-25. Furthermore, applicant argues that the stabilizers are envisioned as floatation devices; however, the stabilizers are not defined or claimed as floatation devices as broadly recited.

Conclusion

9. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the

Art Unit: 3673

Page 5

shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frederick L. Lagman whose telephone number is 703-305-7456. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Heather Schackelford can be reached on 703-308-2978. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7687 for regular communications and 703-305-7687 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1134.

Frederick L. Lagman Examiner

Art Unit 3673

FLL July 23, 2003